

**Quantum Field Theory I: PHYS 721**  
**Problem Set 2: Solutions**

Chris Monahan

**Overview**

The questions in this problem give you some practice at manipulating groups and representations of the Lorentz group.

**Question 1**

**[8 pts]**

(a) Show that the translation operator

$$\hat{U}(\mathbf{a})|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = |\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})\rangle$$

is unitary.

(b) Show that translations act on the position operator  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  as

$$\hat{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{a})\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{U}(\mathbf{a}) = \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a}.$$

(c) Show that translations satisfy the properties of a group.

**Solution 1**

(a) We require that the translation operator does not change the probability density of the state, so

$$\langle\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})|\psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})\rangle = \langle\psi(\mathbf{x})|U^\dagger(\mathbf{a})U(\mathbf{a})|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \langle\psi(\mathbf{x})|\psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle,$$

which entails  $U^\dagger(\mathbf{a})U(\mathbf{a}) = 1$ , as required.

(b) From the definition of the translation operator we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{U}(\mathbf{a})|\mathbf{x}\rangle &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}\rangle \\ &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{a})\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{U}(\mathbf{a})|\mathbf{x}\rangle &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})\hat{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{a})|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}\rangle \\ &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})|\mathbf{x}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we deduce

$$\widehat{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{x}}\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a}) = \widehat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a},$$

as required.

(c) The group conditions are clearly satisfied:

1. Two translations are always equal to another translation  $\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \widehat{U}(\mathbf{b}) = \widehat{U}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})$ , so we have closure under a group operation (composition of translations).
2. There is an identity element (translation by the zero-vector),  $1 = \widehat{U}(\mathbf{0})$ .
3. There is clearly an inverse of  $\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a})$ , which is  $\widehat{U}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}) = \widehat{U}(-\mathbf{a})$ .
4. We know two translations equal another translation, and it doesn't matter which order of operation we apply them

$$\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot (\widehat{U}(\mathbf{b}) \cdot \widehat{U}(\mathbf{c})) = (\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \widehat{U}(\mathbf{b})) \cdot \widehat{U}(\mathbf{c}),$$

since in both cases the outcome is equivalent to  $\widehat{U}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c})$ . So we have associativity.

## Question 2

[12 pts]

(a) Show that the Lorentz group generators in the vector representation, given by

$$({}^1J^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = i(\delta_\alpha^\mu \delta_\beta^\nu - \delta_\beta^\mu \delta_\alpha^\nu)$$

satisfy the Lorentz Lie algebra, that is, show that

$$[{}^1J^{\mu\nu}, {}^1J^{\rho\sigma}] = i\left(g^{\nu\rho} {}^1J^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho} {}^1J^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma} {}^1J^{\mu\rho} + g^{\mu\sigma} {}^1J^{\nu\rho}\right).$$

(b) Show that the Lorentz group generators in the spinor representation, given by

$${}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu],$$

satisfy

$$[{}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho] = i(\gamma^\mu g^{\nu\rho} - \gamma^\nu g^{\mu\rho}).$$

(c) Show the Lorentz group generators in the spinor representation satisfy the Lorentz Lie algebra, that is, show that

$$[{}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\mu\nu}, {}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\rho\sigma}] = i\left(g^{\nu\rho} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\mu\rho} + g^{\mu\sigma} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}J^{\nu\rho}\right).$$

You may find the result from part (b) helpful.

## Solution 2

(a) For this we need

$$\begin{aligned} (J^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\gamma}(J^{\rho\sigma})^{\gamma\beta} &= -\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta_{\gamma}^{\nu}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta_{\gamma}^{\mu}\right)\left(\delta^{\rho\gamma}\delta^{\sigma\beta}-\delta^{\rho\beta}\delta^{\sigma\gamma}\right) \\ &= -\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\rho\nu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\rho\beta}\delta^{\sigma\nu}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\rho\mu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\rho\beta}\delta^{\sigma\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (J^{\rho\sigma})_{\alpha\gamma}(J^{\mu\nu})^{\gamma\beta} &= -\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta_{\gamma}^{\sigma}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta_{\gamma}^{\rho}\right)\left(\delta^{\mu\gamma}\delta^{\nu\beta}-\delta^{\mu\beta}\delta^{\nu\gamma}\right) \\ &= -\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\sigma\mu}\delta^{\nu\beta}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\mu\beta}\delta^{\sigma\nu}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\rho\mu}\delta^{\nu\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\rho\nu}\delta^{\mu\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\rho\sigma}]_{\alpha}^{\beta} &= \delta^{\nu\rho}\left(-\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\mu\beta}\right)+\delta^{\nu\sigma}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\rho\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\mu\beta}\right) \\ &\quad +\delta^{\mu\rho}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\nu\beta}\right)-\delta^{\mu\sigma}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\rho\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\nu\beta}\right) \\ &= ig^{\nu\rho}i\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\mu\beta}\right)-ig^{\nu\sigma}i\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\delta^{\rho\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\mu\beta}\right) \\ &\quad -ig^{\mu\rho}i\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\sigma\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\sigma}\delta^{\nu\beta}\right)+ig^{\mu\sigma}i\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\delta^{\rho\beta}-\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho}\delta^{\nu\beta}\right) \\ &= ig^{\nu\rho}(J^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}^{\beta}-ig^{\nu\sigma}(J^{\mu\rho})_{\alpha}^{\beta}-ig^{\mu\rho}(J^{\nu\sigma})_{\alpha}^{\beta}+ig^{\mu\sigma}(J^{\nu\rho})_{\alpha}^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have some useful properties of the delta function with raised and lowered indices. We start from the definition

$$\delta^{\mu}_{\mu} = +1,$$

for all  $\mu$  (with no summation over  $\mu$  in this case). Then it follows that

$$\delta^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\rho}g^{\rho\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$$

In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{00} &= \delta^0_0g^{00} = +1, \\ \delta^{ij} &= \delta^i_kg^{kj} = -1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = j (= k)$ . All other values are zero.

Similarly we have

$$\delta_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\rho}_{\nu}g_{\rho\mu} = g^{\rho\sigma}g_{\rho\mu}g_{\sigma\nu},$$

where we've used

$$g^{\rho\sigma}g_{\sigma\nu} = \delta^{\rho}_{\nu}.$$

Thus

$$\delta_{00} = g^{00}g_{00}g_{00} = +1,$$

and, with no summation over  $i$  implied,

$$\delta_{ii} = g^{jk}g_{ij}g_{ik} = (-1)^3 = -1.$$

So we also have

$$\delta_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}.$$

(b) Using the definition of the spinor representation of the Lorentz group, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho] &= \frac{i}{4} [[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu], \gamma^\rho] \\ &= \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\rho]. \end{aligned}$$

We can assume that  $\mu \neq \nu$ , since otherwise the lefthand side is trivially zero. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho] &= \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\rho] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\mu (\{\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\rho\} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu) - (\{\gamma^\rho, \gamma^\mu\} - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho) \gamma^\nu) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\mu 2g^{\nu\rho} - 2g^{\rho\nu}\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\mu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu) \\ &= i(\gamma^\mu g^{\nu\rho} - \gamma^\mu g^{\rho\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

(c) To show that spinor representation satisfies the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group, we use the result of (b). Plugging in the definition of the spinor representation, and taking  $\rho \neq \sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{J}^{\rho\sigma}] &= \frac{i}{2} [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left( [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\rho]\gamma^\sigma + \gamma^\rho[\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\sigma] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use part (c) to write this as

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{J}^{\rho\sigma}] &= \frac{i}{2} (i(\gamma^\mu g^{\nu\rho} - \gamma^\nu g^{\rho\mu})\gamma^\sigma + \gamma^\rho i(\gamma^\mu g^{\nu\sigma} - \gamma^\nu g^{\sigma\mu})) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (i\gamma^\mu\gamma^\sigma g^{\nu\rho} - i\gamma^\nu\gamma^\sigma g^{\rho\mu} + i\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu g^{\nu\sigma} - \gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu g^{\sigma\mu}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now we recall that

$$\frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu - \frac{i}{2}g^{\mu\nu},$$

or, rearranging this, that

$$i\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = 2\frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\nu} + ig^{\mu\nu}.$$

Using this in Equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\nu}, \frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\sigma}] &= \frac{i}{2} \left( (2\frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\sigma} + ig^{\mu\sigma})g^{\nu\rho} - i(2\frac{1}{2}J^{\nu\sigma} + ig^{\nu\sigma})g^{\rho\mu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i(2\frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\mu} + ig^{\rho\mu})g^{\nu\sigma} - (2\frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\nu} + ig^{\rho\nu})g^{\sigma\mu} \right) \\ &= i \left( \frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2}J^{\nu\sigma}g^{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\nu}g^{\sigma\mu} + \frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\mu}g^{\nu\sigma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2}(g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\sigma}g^{\rho\mu} + g^{\rho\mu}g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\rho\nu}g^{\sigma\mu}) \right) \\ &= i \left( \frac{1}{2}J^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2}J^{\nu\sigma}g^{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\nu}g^{\sigma\mu} + \frac{1}{2}J^{\rho\mu}g^{\nu\sigma} \right). \end{aligned}$$